Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/548,998	EBERSOLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David A. Lambertson	1636	

All Participants:

(1) *David A. Lambertson, Ph.D.*.

(2) *S. Neil Feltham*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *8 April 2004*

Time: *1pm*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's attorney was contacted regarding the removal of the term "unique" from the Abstract, as it is presumed that an issued patent is already unique. Approval for the amendment is reflected in the attached Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)